Case 2:13-cv-02218-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/25/13 Page 1 of 11

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVE	ERSE OF THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
DEBRA ESTER-HEINDEL				MCGUIGAN LAW OFFICE, LLC and MICHAEL MCGUIGAN, Individually and On Behalf of McGuigan Law Office, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888	P.C.	o	6	Attorneys (If Known)	NVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIE	ES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)		en of This State		PTF DEF Principal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State				
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	TOI		I FO	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 785 Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	62 62 63 64 65 65 65 65 65 66 67 72 73 73 75 75 75 75 75 75	10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 10 R.R. & Truck 30 Airline Regs. 30 Occupational Safety/Health 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 10 Railway Labor Act 10 Health Relations 11 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act 12 Maturalization Application 33 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 15 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations ▼ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
又 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reop	pened anothe	Perred from	ion Judgment):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of ca						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: Z CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			D	EMAND S	CHECK YES or JURY DEMAN	nly if demanded in complaint: iD: I Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:		-		1/1			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTOI	RNEY OF RECORD			

04/24/2013

Case 2:13-cv-02218-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/25/13 Page 2 of 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 12401 Orange Grove Dr., Apt.	902, Tampa, FL 33618
Address of Defendant: 311 Veterans Highway, Suit	e 100A, Levittown, PA 19156
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
	Side For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent co	rporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Ci	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No.₩
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number:Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following question	ns:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or with	
	Yes□ No⊠
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction action in this court?	as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or a	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro-so	e civil rights case filed by the same individual?
is this case a second of successive massac corpus, second country appears, or pro-	Yes□ No X
2	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contract	s 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. X All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	
ARRITRATION	N CERTIFICATION
(Check Appr	opriate Category)
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do he	ereby certify: Aledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	reage and benef, the damages recoverable in this eight action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
1/1	57100
DATE: 04/24/2013 Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
J	only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now p	ending or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	yanng or maint one year promotory terminated action in this court
· /	57100
DATE: 04/24/2013 Attorney-at-Law	
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Auothey i.D.π

Case 2:13-cv-02218-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/25/13 Page 3 of 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

•	,			•		
DEBRA ESTER-HEIND	EL	:				
		:	CIVIL A	ACTION		
V.		; ;	NO.			
MCGUIGAN LAW OFF MICHAEL MCGUIGAN Behalf of McGuigan Law	I, Individually and On	: :				:
In accordance with the Oplaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant plaintiff and all other part that defendant believes the	Case Management Treeve a copy on all defendence event that a defendant shall, with its first applies, a Case Management case should be assigned.	rack Designants. Indent does ppearance that Track I gned.	gnation For (See § 1:03 of a some agreed e, submit to Designation	m in all civil can of the plan set for with the plaint the clerk of cour Form specifying	nses at the to orth on the riff regardin rt and serve	ime o everse g said on the
SELECT ONE OF THE	E FOLLOWING CAS	SE MAN	AGEMEN'	T TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	es brought under 28 U	S.C. § 2	241 through	§ 2255.		(
(b) Social Security – Case and Human Services	es requesting review o denying plaintiff Socia			ecretary of Heal	th	(
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	equired to be designate	ed for arb	itration und	er Local Civil R	tule 53.2.	(X
(d) Asbestos – Cases invo exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for perso	onal injur	y or propert	y damage from		(
-	 Cases that do not fall as complex and that reside of this form for 	need spec	ial or intens	e management l	by	
management cases.)	e side of this form for	a detaile	а схріанаце	ni oi speciai		(
(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do not f	`all into a	ny one of th	e other tracks.		(
04/24/2013 Date	Craig Thor Kimm Attorney-at-law	<u>nel</u>	Plaintiff, D Attorney fo	ebra Ester-Heir or	<u>idel</u>	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	_	kimmel@c E-Mail Ad	ereditlaw.com dress		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

1

2		T OF PENNSYLVANIA		
3				
4	DEBRA ESTER-HEINDEL,)		
5	Plaintiff))		
6		Case No.:		
7	V.	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR		
8	MCGUIGAN LAW OFFICE, LLC,) JURY TRIAL)		
9	and	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)		
10	MICHAEL MCGUIGAN, Individually and On Behalf of McGuigan Law)))		
12	Office, LLC,)		
13	Defendants))		
14				
15	COM	PLAINT		
16	DEBRA ESTER-HEINDEL ("F	Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys		
17 18	KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against MCGUIGA			
19	LAW OFFICE, LLC and MICHAEL MCGUIGAN, Individually and On Behalf			
20	McGuigan Law Office, LLC ("Defenda	nts"):		
21	INTRO	DUCTION		
22				
23	1. Plaintiff's Complaint is ba	ased on the Fair Debt Collection Practices		
24	Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"	").		
25				
	i 1			

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. §1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendants are located in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; as such, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Tampa, Florida 33618.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 7. In the alternative, Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 8. Defendant McGuigan Law Office ("MLO") is a debt collection law firm with its offices located at 311 Veterans Highway, Suite 100A, Levittown, PA 19156.
 - 9. Defendant Michael McGuigan ("MM") is the principle of Defendant

16

15

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25 MLO and at all relevant times controlled the conduct of Defendant MLO. MM has a business office located at 311 Veterans Highway, Suite 100A, Levittown, PA 19156.

Defendants acted through their agents, employees, officers, members, 10. directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendants were attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt.
- Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of 12. transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Throughout August 2012, Defendants contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- During the relevant period, the parties had multiple conversations 14. regarding the underlying debt.
- During those conversations, Defendants were demeaning, rude and 15. deceptive, and on at least one occasion, threatened to secure a judgment against Plaintiff if she did not pay the alleged debt.
- None of the Defendants have employees licensed to practice law in 16. the State of Florida.

- 17. None of the Defendants file collection lawsuits in the State of Florida.
- 18. None of the Defendants have filed suit against Plaintiff for the alleged debt.
- 19. Upon information and belief, none of the Defendants intended to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff for the alleged debt.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendants at no time had authority to file a lawsuit against Plaintiff for the alleged debt.
- 21. As a result of the above, Defendants' threat to file suit was false, deceptive and misleading.
- 22. In another conversation, Defendants threatened to seize Plaintiff's 401k retirement plan to satisfy the debt but cannot and have not done so.
- 23. Upon information and belief, Defendants had no intention to access or seize funds from Plaintiff's 401k retirement plan to satisfy the alleged debt.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendants had no authority to seize Plaintiff's 401k retirement plan to satisfy the alleged debt.
- 25. In light of the above, Defendants' threat to seize the retirement account was at all times false, deceptive and misleading.
- 26. Finally, on at least one occasion, Defendants threatened to "file a judgment" against Plaintiff "within 24 hours" if she did not pay the outstanding debt.

- 27. No Defendant possessed the ability, authorization or legal entitlement to carry out the stated threat and in fact, Defendants do not file civil lawsuits against residents of the State of Florida.
- 28. To date, Defendants have not filed suit against Plaintiff for the alleged debt.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendants did not have any intention of filing a lawsuit against Plaintiff for the alleged debt.
- 30. At the time that it made that threat, Defendants' threat to sue within 24 hours was false, deceptive and misleading.
- 31. Further, upon information and belief, Defendants could not obtain a judgment within 24 hours.
- 32. In addition, in its attempts to collect the debt, Defendants contacted Plaintiff's father, though Defendants already possessed valid contact information for Plaintiff and had no legitimate business purpose for contacting Plaintiff's father.
- 33. Defendants' purpose in contacting Plaintiff's father was to embarrass, annoy, abuse, and harass, believing that by contacting the father, a payment would be made.

DEFENDANTS VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 34. Defendants' conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692d.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by calling Plaintiff's father, despite possessing her valid contact information, with the intent of harassing Plaintiff into paying the alleged debt.

COUNT II

- 35. Defendants' conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(5) and 1692e(8) of the FDCPA.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA by falsely representing the character, amount or legal status of any debt.
 - c. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not

intended to be taken.

d. Here, Defendants violated §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A), and 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by telling Plaintiff that they were going to get a judgment against her and threatening to take her 401k if she failed to pay the debt even though Defendants did not intend and legally take such action at the time that they made those threats.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DEBRA ESTER-HEINDEL, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DEBRA ESTER-HEINDEL, demands a jury trial in this case.

Case 2:13-cv-02218-PBT Document 1 Filed 04/25/13 Page 11 of 11

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, Date: 04 24 13 By: CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID No. 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864 Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com